

FTUV/93-53

IFIC/93-34

December 1993

Discretization of the phase space for a q -deformed harmonic oscillator with q a root of unity

Dennis Bonatsos ^{* 1}, C. Daskaloyannis ⁺, Demosthenes Ellinas [#] and Amand Faessler ^{*}

^{*} Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 14,
D-72076 Tübingen, F. R. Germany

⁺ Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, GR-54006 Thessaloniki,
Greece

[#] Departamento de Física Teórica and IFIC, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia –
CSIC, E-46100 Burjasot, Valencia, Spain

Abstract

The “position” and “momentum” operators for the q -deformed oscillator with q being a root of unity are proved to have discrete eigenvalues which are roots of deformed Hermite polynomials. The Fourier transform connecting the “position” and “momentum” representations is also found. The phase space of this oscillator has a lattice structure, which is a non-uniformly distributed grid. Non-equidistant lattice structures also occur in the cases of the truncated harmonic oscillator and of the q -deformed parafermionic oscillator, while the parafermionic oscillator corresponds to a uniformly distributed grid.

¹Permanent address: Institute of Nuclear Physics, N.C.S.R. “Demokritos”, GR-15310 Aghia Paraskevi, Attiki, Greece

Quantum groups and algebras [1], which from the mathematical point of view are Hopf algebras, are recently attracting much attention in physics, and especially after its introduction the so-called q -deformed harmonic oscillator [2, 3], has been the subject of intensive study. The introduction of the q -deformed oscillator has posed the question of the construction of a q -deformed “coordinate” or “momentum” representation, in analogy to the ordinary quantum mechanics. This problem was initially studied in the context of the Q -deformed oscillator, introduced earlier by Arik and Coon [4] (see also [2]). The properties of the $a^+ + a$ operator (which is proportional to the position operator in usual quantum mechanics) for the q -deformed oscillator with q real have been considered in [5]. The case of the q -deformed oscillator with q being a root of unity [6, 7] is, however, qualitatively different from the case of q real or the case of Q -deformation [4], because in the first case the Hilbert space is finite dimensional, while in the latter the carrier Fock space is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

In the present letter we consider the q -deformed oscillator [2, 3] for q being a root of unity. In this case we show that the finite dimensionality of the Fock space induces a discretization of the spectrum of the “position” and “momentum” operators, the phase space being replaced by a grid (a lattice) with non-equidistant points which are identified as the nodes of certain deformed Hermite polynomials. Similar non-uniformly spaced lattices are shown to occur also in the case of the truncated harmonic oscillator [8], i.e. the harmonic oscillator with truncated finite dimensional spectrum, and the q -deformed parafermionic oscillator [9], while the usual parafermionic oscillator [10] corresponds to a lattice structure of the phase space with equidistant points.

The q -deformed harmonic oscillator is determined by the creation and annihilation operators a^+ and a , which satisfy the relations

$$aa^+ - q^{\mp 1/2} a^+ a = q^{\pm N/2}, \quad [N, a^+] = a^+, \quad [N, a] = -a, \quad (1)$$

where N is the number operator and q -numbers are defined as

$$[x] = \frac{q^{x/2} - q^{-x/2}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}. \quad (2)$$

The relevant Fock space representation is determined by the eigenvectors

$$a|0\rangle = 0, \quad |n\rangle = \frac{(a^+)^n}{([n]!)^{1/2}}|0\rangle,$$

where the q-factorial is defined as

$$[n]! = [n][n-1]\dots[1].$$

The action of the operators on the basis vectors is given by

$$a^+|n\rangle = \sqrt{[n+1]}|n+1\rangle, \quad a|n\rangle = \sqrt{[n]}|n-1\rangle, \quad N|n\rangle = n|n\rangle. \quad (3)$$

One can easily show that for this representation

$$a^+a = [N], \quad aa^+ = [N+1].$$

The Hamiltonian of the q-deformed oscillator is

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(aa^+ + a^+a),$$

and its eigenvalues are

$$E(n) = \frac{1}{2}([n] + [n+1]).$$

Let us consider the case in which q is a root of unity, i.e.

$$q = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n_d}\right),$$

where n_d is a natural number and $n_d \geq 2$. q-numbers then obtain the form

$$[x] = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{n_d}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n_d}\right)}. \quad (4)$$

It is clear in this case that $q^{n_d} = 1$ and $[n_d] = 0$, so that in addition one has $[n_d - k] = 0$ and $[n_d + k] = [k]$, where k integer. This implies [11] that the Fock space matrix representation of the generators as given above, is reducible into a block-diagonal form with matrices along the diagonal

$$a = \sum_{m=1}^{n_d-1} \sqrt{[m]}|m-1\rangle\langle m|, \quad a^+ = \sum_{m=0}^{n_d-2} \sqrt{[m+1]}|m+1\rangle\langle m|,$$

$$N = \sum_{m=0}^{n_d-1} m |m\rangle\langle m|.$$

In other words, the Fock space is limited to $|n\rangle$ with $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n_d - 1$. The nilpotency of a and a^+ ($a^{n_d} = (a^+)^{n_d} = 0$) implies that the q -deformed oscillator with q a n_d th root of unity interpolates between the Pauli matrices ($n_d = 2$) [12] and the usual harmonic oscillator ($n_d \rightarrow \infty$).

In analogy to the case of the usual harmonic oscillator, let us consider the “position” operator (see also [5])

$$X = \frac{a + a^+}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (5)$$

This choice being quite arbitrary, we will show later in this letter (starting from eq. (36)) that the basic features in the subsequent discussion do not depend on this specific choice, which leads to finite lattice phase spaces with open ends. A different possibility is provided by the so called “polar decomposition” (see [11, 13] and references therein), which is unique and leads to closed finite lattice phase spaces, i.e. to a latticed torus.

Let then $|x\rangle$ and x be the eigenvectors and the corresponding eigenvalues of the operator X , satisfying

$$X|x\rangle = x|x\rangle. \quad (6)$$

It is clear that, when q is a root of unity, $|x\rangle$ are vectors in the, as mentioned above, n_d -dimensional Fock space. Therefore their general form is given by

$$|x\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{[n]!}} |n\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} c_n \frac{(a^+)^n}{[n]!} |0\rangle. \quad (7)$$

We are now going to determine recursion relations for the coefficients c_n by using the Lanczos algorithm ([14, 15], see [16] for a group theoretical formulation of it). Acting with the operator X of eq. (5) on the basis vectors of eq. (7) and making use of eq. (3) one finds

$$X|x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-2} \frac{c_{n+1}}{\sqrt{[n]!}} |n\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{n_d-1} \frac{c_{n-1}}{\sqrt{[n]!}} [n] |n\rangle. \quad (8)$$

From eqs (6) and (7) this should be equal to

$$x|x\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} \frac{x c_n}{\sqrt{[n]!}} |n\rangle. \quad (9)$$

Equating the rhs of eqs (8) and (9) one obtains the following recurrence relations for the coefficients c_i

$$c_1 = \sqrt{2}xc_0,$$

$$c_{n+1} = \sqrt{2}xc_n - [n]c_{n-1}, \quad (10)$$

$$c_{n_d} = 0. \quad (11)$$

These recurrence relations imply that each c_n is a polynomial of order n depending on x . The condition of eq. (11) is satisfied only by a set of discrete values of the eigenvalue x , which are the roots of the n_d -order polynomial $c_{n_d} = c_{n_d}(x)$. The number of these roots is equal to the order n_d of the corresponding polynomial and these roots are real ones because the operator X defined by eq. (5) is a Hermitian operator. This shows that q being a root of unity induces a discretization of the spectrum of the “position” operator X . The discrete values of the position x are indexed by the following convention:

$$x_m, \quad m = -J, -J+1, \dots, J-1, J \quad \text{where} \quad n_d = 2J+1,$$

and

$$c_{n_d}(x_m) = 0.$$

The equations obtained for the first few values of n are listed below:

$$c_2 = (2x^2 - [1])c_0,$$

$$c_3 = \left((\sqrt{2}x)^3 - ([1] + [2])\sqrt{2}x \right) c_0,$$

$$c_4 = (4x^4 - 2([1] + [2] + [3])x^2 + [1][3])c_0,$$

$$c_5 = \left((\sqrt{2}x)^5 - ([1] + [2] + [3] + [4]) (\sqrt{2}x)^3 + ([1][3] + [1][4] + [2][4])\sqrt{2}x \right) c_0,$$

$$c_6 = (8x^6 - 4([1] + [2] + [3] + [4] + [5])x^4 + 2([1][3] + [1][4] + [1][5] + [2][4] + [2][5] + [3][5])x^2 - [1][3][5])c_0.$$

It is worth remarking at this point that the polynomials appearing in the above equations are some kind of q-deformed Hermite polynomials up to some normalization factor, occurring from the recursion relation

$$H_{n+1}(x) = 2xH_n(x) - 2[n]H_{n-1}(x), \quad (12)$$

with $H_{-1}(x) = 0$, $H_0(x) = 1$. In fact this recursion relation induces the relation between the Hermite polynomials and the functions c_n :

$$c_n(x_m) = \frac{2^{-n/2}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_m}} H_n(x_m), \quad (13)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_m$ is a normalization factor. Furthermore, one has

$$H_{n_d}(x_m) = 0, \quad m = -J, -J+1, \dots, J-1, J,$$

i.e. the eigenvalues of the “position” operator X in a n_d -dimensional space are the roots of the corresponding deformed Hermite polynomial H_{n_d} .

It is also worth noticing that the recursion relation of eq. (12) is similar (but not identical) to the one obtained in ref. [17]. (We are going to discuss this correspondence again near the end of this paper.) The q-Hermite polynomials of [17] are also related to the ones introduced in refs. [18], [19]. Other forms of q-Hermite polynomials have been introduced in refs. [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27].

The Hermite polynomials defined by the recurrence relation of eq. (12) are odd (or even) functions of x for n odd (or even), therefore their eigenvalues are symmetric around the origin:

$$x_{-m} = -x_m.$$

From the recurrence relation the Darboux-Christoffel [28] equation can be proved:

$$\rho(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} \frac{H_n(x)H_n(y)}{[n]!2^n} = \frac{1}{[n_d-1]!2^{n_d-1}} \cdot \frac{H_{n_d}(x)H_{n_d-1}(y) - H_{n_d-1}(x)H_{n_d}(y)}{x-y}. \quad (14)$$

The Darboux-Christoffel equation implies:

$$\rho(x, x_m) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} \frac{H_n(x)H_n(x_m)}{[n]!2^n} = \frac{1}{[n_d-1]!2^{n_d-1}} \cdot \frac{H_{n_d}(x)H_{n_d-1}(x_m)}{x-x_m}.$$

If $x \rightarrow x_m$ then:

$$\rho(x_m, x_m) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} \frac{H_n^2(x_m)}{[n]!2^n} = \frac{1}{[n_d-1]!2^{n_d-1}} \cdot H'_{n_d}(x_m)H_{n_d-1}(x_m) = \mathcal{N}_m.$$

The above equation means that $H'_{n_d}(x_m) \neq 0$ and therefore the roots x_m of the Hermite polynomials are simple ones.

The normalized coordinate eigenvector is then given by:

$$|x_m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_m}} \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} \frac{H_n(x_m)}{\sqrt{[n]!2^n}} |n\rangle. \quad (15)$$

Using again the Darboux-Christoffel equation we can prove the orthonormality condition of the coordinate eigenvectors:

$$\langle x_m | x_{m'} \rangle = \delta_{m,m'}. \quad (16)$$

The above orthonormality condition implies that the eigenvectors $|x_m\rangle$ with $m = -J, \dots, J$ are independent ones and they span all the space generated by the eigenvectors $|n\rangle$ with $n = 0, \dots, n_d - 1$. Therefore the following completeness relation holds:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} |n\rangle \langle n| = \sum_{m=-J}^J |x_m\rangle \langle x_m| = 1. \quad (17)$$

This completeness relation implies that

$$\langle n | n' \rangle = \sum_{m=-J}^J \langle n | x_m \rangle \langle x_m | n' \rangle = \delta_{n,n'},$$

and from the definition of eq. (15) the orthogonality condition for the Hermite polynomials is induced:

$$\sum_{m=-J}^J \frac{H_n(x_m)H_{n'}(x_m)}{\mathcal{N}_m} = \delta_{n,n'}[n]!2^n. \quad (18)$$

In order to get a feeling about the discrete eigenvalue spectrum of the operator X , let us consider the special case $n_d = 4$, in which one easily finds that $[1] = 1$, $[2] = \sqrt{2}$, $[3] = 1$. The relevant equation then reads

$$4x^4 - 2(2 + \sqrt{2})x^2 + 1 = 0,$$

which has the roots

$$\sqrt{2}x = \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \pm \sqrt{\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is clear that the lattice occurring here is not equidistant.

Let us now consider, again in analogy to the usual harmonic oscillator case, the “momentum” operator

$$P = \frac{a - a^+}{i\sqrt{2}}. \quad (19)$$

Let then $|p\rangle$ and p be the eigenvectors and the corresponding eigenvalues of the operator P , satisfying

$$P|p\rangle = p|p\rangle.$$

It is clear that, when q is a root of unity, $|p\rangle$ are vectors in a n_d -dimensional Fock space. Therefore, in analogy to the case of X , its general form is given by

$$|p\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} \frac{d_n}{\sqrt{[n]!}} |n\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} d_n \frac{(a^+)^n}{[n]!} |0\rangle. \quad (20)$$

Acting with the operator P on the vectors of eq. (20) one gets, in analogy to the X case, the following recurrence relations for the coefficients d_n

$$d_1 = i\sqrt{2}pd_0,$$

$$d_{n+1} = i\sqrt{2}pd_n + [n]d_{n-1}, \quad (21)$$

$$d_{n_d} = 0. \quad (22)$$

These recurrence relations can be satisfied only by discrete values of the eigenvalue p . This shows that q being a root of unity induces a discretization of the spectrum of the “momentum” operator P . By comparing eq. (21) and the definition of the Hermite polynomials (eq. (12)) we conclude that:

$$d_n(p_m) = \frac{i^{-n}2^{-n/2}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_m}} H_n(-p_m), \quad (23)$$

where p_m , $m = -J, \dots, J$ are the roots of the Hermite polynomial of order n_d

$$H_{n_d}(-p_m) = H_{n_d}(p_{-m}) = 0, \quad m = -J, \dots, J, \quad 2J + 1 = n_d.$$

We remark that these equations are identical with the ones obtained for the eigenvalues of the X operator. Therefore the spectra of the operators X and P are identical and the same q -Hermite polynomials appear in both cases.

The corresponding eigenvectors of the momentum operator are given, in analogy to eq. (15), by:

$$|p_m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_m}} \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} \frac{i^{-n} H_n(-p_m)}{\sqrt{[n]! 2^n}} |n\rangle, \quad (24)$$

and the orthogonality condition of the Hermite polynomials induces the condition:

$$\langle p_m | p'_m \rangle = \delta_{m,m'}.$$

As a result of the discretization of the “position” and “momentum” eigenvalues found above, the phase space (x, p) is not the whole real plane, as in the case of the usual harmonic oscillator, but it is a two-dimensional lattice with non-uniformly distributed points. Similar discretized phase spaces occur in studies of the connection between q-deformed quantum mechanics and quantum mechanics on a lattice [29, 30, 31, 32].

Every vector in the Hilbert space spanned by $|n\rangle$, $n = 0, \dots, n_d - 1$ can be described in the “coordinate” representation using the basis $|x_m\rangle$:

$$|f\rangle = \sum_{m=-J}^{m=J} f(x_m) |x_m\rangle,$$

or in the “momentum” representation using the basis $|p_m\rangle$:

$$|f\rangle = \sum_{m=-J}^{m=J} \hat{f}(p_m) |p_m\rangle,$$

where the momentum representation $\hat{f}(p_m)$ is the “Fourier transform” of the coordinate representation $f(x_m)$. This kind of Fourier transform is given by:

$$\hat{f}(p_m) = \sum_{m'=-J}^J \langle p_m | x_{m'} \rangle f(x_{m'}). \quad (25)$$

From eqs (15) and (24) we can define the transition products:

$$\langle p_m | x'_m \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_m \mathcal{N}_{m'}}} \sum_{n=0}^{n_d-1} \frac{i^n H_n(-p_m) H_n(x_{m'})}{[n]! 2^n}. \quad (26)$$

Thus the Fourier transform between the representations is completely defined.

It is worth remarking that in the present case

$$[X, P] = i[a, a^\dagger] = i([N+1] - [N]) = i \frac{\cos \frac{\pi(2N+1)}{2n_d}}{\cos \frac{\pi}{2n_d}}. \quad (27)$$

In lowest order approximation this gives

$$[X, P] = i \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2n_d^2} N(N+1) \right),$$

which is reminiscent of the noncanonical commutation relation of ref. [33].

All the above proposed formalism is based on the fact that the Fock space of the q -deformed oscillator with q being a root of unity is finite dimensional. We are now going to demonstrate that the same formalism can be applied to other finite-dimensional oscillators as well. Recently several generalizations of the notion of the q -deformed oscillator have been proposed in the literature (see [34] for a list of references). All these generalizations can be described by the deformed oscillator algebra generated by the operators $\{1, a, a^+, N\}$ and the *structure function* $\Phi(x)$, satisfying the relations [35]:

$$[a, N] = a, \quad [a^+, N] = -a^+, \quad (28)$$

and

$$a^+ a = \Phi(N) = [N], \quad a a^+ = \Phi(N+1) = [N+1], \quad (29)$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is a positive analytic function with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and N is the number operator. When

$$\Phi(n_d) = [n_d] = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad a^{n_d} = (a^+)^{n_d} = 0, \quad (30)$$

a finite dimensional deformed oscillator algebra can be defined. The q -deformed oscillator with q being a root of unity corresponds to the choice of structure function given by eq. (2). Other possible choices include:

i) The parafermionic oscillator [10, 34] with:

$$\Phi(x) = [x] = x(n_d - x)/(n_d - 1). \quad (31)$$

ii) The q -deformed version [9, 34] of the above parafermionic oscillator, where:

$$\Phi(x) = [x] = \frac{\sinh(qx) \sinh(q(n_d - x))}{\sinh(q) \sinh(q(n_d - 1))}. \quad (32)$$

iii) The truncated harmonic oscillator [8], corresponding to the structure function:

$$\Phi(x) = [x] = x\Theta(n_d - x), \quad (33)$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is the step function:

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

In all these cases the above proposed formalism can be applied by replacing $[x]$ by the corresponding structure function $\Phi(x)$ in all equations. Especially in the case of the truncated harmonic oscillator [8], the Hermite polynomials of eq. (12) correspond to the usual Hermite polynomials, since for this oscillator $\Phi(x) = x$ in the non-vanishing cases.

It is easy to check that in all of the above mentioned cases the phase space is represented by a grid with non-equidistant points, with the exception of the parafermionic oscillator, the phase space grid of which contains equidistant points. In order to compare the differences of the induced inhomogeneity for the various oscillators, let us define the inhomogeneity ratio ρ

$$\rho = \frac{x_{n_d} - x_{n_d-1}}{x_k - x_{k-1}}, \quad (35)$$

where k is the integer part of $\frac{n_d}{2}$. It is clear that the inhomogeneity ratio is a measure of the deformation of the lattice, by comparing the distance between two consecutive lattice points on the boundary to the distance between two consecutive central points. Numerical results are shown in Table 1. The truncated harmonic oscillator defined by the structure function of eq. (33) induces a deformation larger than the one induced by the q-deformed oscillator, defined by the structure function of eq. (4). In both of these cases the grid is more dense near the center of the phase space lattice and more sparse near its edges. In contrast, the parafermionic oscillator of eq. (31) corresponds to a uniform lattice, while in the case of the q-deformed parafermionic oscillator of eq. (32) the center of the phase space lattice is more sparse than the edges. These facts make the parafermionic oscillator attractive as a model possessing an equidistant phase space grid.

As mentioned before, the operator X is chosen in eq. (5) in analogy to the case of the usual harmonic oscillator. This choice is quite arbitrary. More generally, one could consider an operator of the form

$$X = \frac{f(N)a + g(N)a^+}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (36)$$

where $f(N)$ and $g(N)$ are functions of the number operator N . Following the same steps as before one sees that in this case the recursion relations of eq. (10) for the coefficients become

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 f(0) &= \sqrt{2} x c_0, \\ c_{n+1} f(n) &= \sqrt{2} x c_n - [n] c_{n-1} g(n), \end{aligned}$$

with eq. (11) remaining the same. As a consequence, the recursion relation (12) for the deformed Hermite polynomials becomes

$$H_{n+1}(x) f(n) = 2x H_n(x) - 2[n] H_{n-1}(x) g(n).$$

For $f(N) = 1$ and $g(N) = q^{1-N}$ one obtains

$$H_{n+1}(x) = 2x H_n(x) - 2[n]_Q H_{n-1}(x),$$

where

$$[x]_Q = \frac{Q^x - 1}{Q - 1}. \quad (37)$$

For $Q = q^{-2}$ this is equivalent to the recursion relation obtained in [17], up to the factors of 2. These factors disappear by choosing

$$X = f(N)a + g(N)a^+.$$

Then instead of eq. (10) one has

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 f(0) &= x c_0, \\ c_{n+1} f(n) &= x c_n - [n] c_{n-1} g(n), \end{aligned}$$

while in the place of eq. (12) one obtains

$$H_{n+1}(x) f(n) = x H_n(x) - [n] H_{n-1}(x) g(n),$$

which for $f(n) = 1$ and $g(N) = q^{1-N}$ reproduces the result of ref. [17] exactly, when the definition of eq. (37) is used. One therefore concludes that there are many ways of writing X in terms of a and a^+ . In all cases discretization is gotten, although the deformed Hermite polynomials obtained differ. One thus obtains in this way a large number of toy models.

In a similar way, one can consider

$$P = \frac{f(N)a - g(N)a^+}{i\sqrt{2}},$$

so that both X and P will lead to the same deformed Hermite polynomials. In fact in this case eq. (21) is replaced by

$$d_1 f(0) = i\sqrt{2}pd_0,$$

$$d_{n+1}f(n) = i\sqrt{2}pd_n + [n]d_{n-1}g(n),$$

and the deformed Hermite polynomials occuring are the same as in the case of X mentioned above.

For the commutator of X and P one finds

$$[X, P] = i(f(N)g(N+1)aa^+ - f(N-1)g(N)a^+a).$$

Using the selection of $f(N) = 1$, $g(N) = q^{1-N}$, corresponding to the case of ref. [17], one obtains

$$[X, P] = i([N+1]_Q - [N]_Q),$$

with $Q = q^{-2}$. This is the same result one would have obtained using the original definitions of eqs. (5) and (19) with a and a^+ being replaced by Q-bosons [4] b and b^+ , satisfying

$$[N, b^+] = b^+, \quad [N, b] = -b, \quad bb^+ - Qb^+b = 1.$$

This means that with the appropriate choice of $f(N)$ and $g(N)$ we can “convert” q-bosons into Q-bosons, so that the result in the commutator of X and P (and also in the recursion relation for the Hermite polynomials) for $f(N) = 1$, $g(N) = q^{1-N}$, and a, a^+ corresponding to the q-oscillator, is the same as it would have been by using X and P with $f(N) = 1$, $g(N) = 1$, and with a and a^+ replaced by b and b^+ , corresponding to the Q-oscillator with $Q = q^{-2}$. Similarly by choosing $f(N) = 1$ and

$$g(N) = \frac{N(n_d - N)}{(n_d - 1)} \frac{(q - q^{-1})}{(q^N - q^{-N})},$$

the recursion relation for the deformed Hermite polynomials becomes the same as in the case of the parafermionic oscillator and the spectrum is equidistant. Thus this choice of $f(N)$ and $g(N)$ “converts” q -bosons into parafermions.

In conclusion, we have proved that in the case of the q -deformed oscillator with q a root of unity the “position” and “momentum” operators have discrete eigenvalues, which are the roots of the corresponding deformed Hermite polynomials. The phase space is a non-equidistant grid. Similar non-equidistant discretized phase spaces occur also in the cases of the truncated harmonic oscillator and the q -deformed parafermionic oscillator, while the usual parafermionic oscillator corresponds to an equidistant phase space grid.

Using these oscillators as the basis for building field theories defined on a uniform or non-uniform lattice seems to be an interesting problem. Further development of the theory of q -deformed orthogonal polynomials for q being a root of unity is also needed.

Support from the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie under contract No 06 Tü 736 (DB) and DGICYT, Spain (DE) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] V. G. Drinfeld, in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, ed. A. M. Gleason (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1987) p. 798.
- [2] A. J. Macfarlane, J. Phys. A 22 (1989) 4581.
- [3] L. C. Biedenharn, J. Phys. A 22 (1989) L873.
- [4] M. Arik and D. D. Coon, J. Math. Phys. 17 (1976) 524.
- [5] I. M. Burbani and A. U. Klimyk, Lett. Math. Phys. 29 (1993) 13.
- [6] T. Hayashi, Comm. Math. Phys. 127 (1990) 129.
- [7] E. G. Floratos and T. N. Tomaras, Phys. Lett. B 251 (1990) 163.
- [8] P. Figurny, A. Orlowski and K. Wódkiewicz, Phys. Rev. A 47 (1993) 5151.
- [9] R. Floreanini and L. Vinet, J. Phys. A 23 (1990) L1019.
- [10] Y. Ohnuki and S. Kamefuchi, *Quantum Field Theory and Parastatistics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982).
- [11] D. Ellinas, Phys. Rev. A 45 (1992) 3358.
- [12] D. Bonatsos and C. Daskaloyannis, J. Phys. A 26 (1993) 1589.
- [13] D. Ellinas, J. Mod. Opt. 36 (1989) 7.
- [14] C. Lanczos, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. 45 (1950) 255.
- [15] R. Haydock, in *Solid State Physics*, ed. H. Ehrenreich, F. Seitz and D. Turnbull (Academic Press, New York, 1980) Vol. 35 p. 215.
- [16] R. Floreanini, J. LeTourneux and L. Vinet, Ann. Phys. 226 (1993) 331.
- [17] J. Van der Jeugt, Lett. Math. Phys. 24 (1992) 267.

- [18] Wm. R. Allaway, *Canad. J. Math.* 32 (1980) 686.
- [19] D. M. Bressoud, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 29 (1980) 577.
- [20] H. Exton, *q-Hypergeometric Functions and Applications* (Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1983).
- [21] H. M. Srivastava and V. K. Jain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 144 (1989) 147.
- [22] W. A. Al-Salam and M. E. H. Ismail, *Pacific J. Math.* 135 (1988) 209.
- [23] N. M. Atakishiev and S. K. Suslov, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* 85 (1990) 64 [*Theor. Math. Phys.* 85 (1990) 1055].
- [24] R. Floreanini and L. Vinet, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 22 (1991) 45.
- [25] J. A. Minahan, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 5 (1990) 2625.
- [26] Z. Chang, H. Y. Guo and H. Yan, *J. Phys. A* 25 (1992) 1517.
- [27] E. V. Damaskinskii and P. P. Kulish, *Sov. J. Math.* 62 (1992) 2963.
- [28] G. Szegő *Orthogonal Polynomials* (Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 23, Providence, RI, 1939).
- [29] M. Pillin, W. B. Schmidke and J. Wess, *Nucl. Phys. B* 403 (1993) 223.
- [30] Y. Q. Li and Z. M. Sheng, *J. Phys. A* 25 (1992) 6779.
- [31] A. Dimakis and F. Müller-Hoissen, *Phys. Lett. B* 295 (1992) 242.
- [32] M. R. Ubriaco, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 8 (1993) 2213.
- [33] A. Jannussis, *J. Phys. A* 26 (1993) L233.
- [34] D. Bonatsos and C. Daskaloyannis, *Phys. Lett. B* 307 (1993) 100.
- [35] C. Daskaloyannis, *J. Phys. A* 24 (1991) L789.

Table 1

The inhomogeneity ratio ρ , defined in eq. (35), for various deformed oscillators.

n_d	q-deformed oscillator eq. (4)	truncated oscillator eq. (33)	q- parafermionic oscillator (q=1) eq. (32)	parafermionic oscillator eq. (31)
10	1.11	1.30	0.49	1
15	1.13	1.45	0.33	1